

Activity Appraisal Document ODA

€ 250.000 up to € 1.000.000

Save / Generate

Explanation of the policy data can be found in on [Rijkspotaal](#). For a more detailed description you can find additional information in the [OS-Gegevenswoordenboek \(Dutch\)](#).

For the **highlighted** subjects in table below the [OS-Gegevenswoordenboek \(Dutch\)](#) and [Rijkspotaal \(English\)](#) give further explanation.

| | |
|---|---|
| Application number | 4000003554 |
| Short name application | ALG-MRF-2019-02 : Renforcement de la protection migrants vulnérables |
| Long name application | Renforcement de la protection et de la résilience des personnes les plus vulnérables sur la route migratoire |
| Description application | <p>Médecins du Monde requests support in two ongoing projects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Supporting the <i>Plateforme Migration Algérie</i>; and2) Access and rights to sexual and reproductive health of women in Oran. (also known as "Jardin des Femmes") <p>These projects are especially relevant to the embassy as they support two prioritized objectives: that of <i>improving migration management</i> and of <i>stimulating social progress</i> (strengthening civil society).</p> <p>To be more precise: through the first part of the project members of the migratory platform of Algeria (in the cities of Algiers and Oran) will be trained in assisting and protecting migrants living in Algeria</p> <p>Through the second part of the project, a selected number of civil society actors will be supported in their effort to reduce the sanitary risks related to sexual practices for both the Algerian and migrant population.</p> |
| Budget holder | DAM |
| Number business partner | 30065533 |
| Implementing organisation(s) | Médecins du Monde |
| Legal relationship | Grant |
| Commitment in foreign currency (if applicable) | n/a |
| Corporate rate | |

| | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|------------------|
| <u>Commitment</u> in euros | 300.000,- | | |
| Funds centre | 0502U050200** | 0502U05040002 | |
| Activity start date | 01-06-2019 | | |
| Activity end date | 31-11-2020 | | |
| Contract start date | 01-08-2019 | | |
| Contract end date | 31-07-2020 | | |
| <u>Aid modality</u> | Other aid | | |
| <u>Donor role</u> | Lead or active donor | | |
| <u>Technical assistance</u> | TA=0 0% of the activity budget | | |
| <u>Beneficiary's country/region</u> | Algeria. Project is not ODA | | |
| Countries within the region (if applicable) | -- | | |
| Location within the country (be as specific as possible) | Province | Name location(s): | Algiers and Oran |
| <u>CRS Code</u> | 15160 | | |
| <u>Policy marker weight is 'principal'</u> (no minimum or maximum amount) | Migration, Social Progress, Access to health, Human Rights, Emancipation of women, Advocacy | | |
| <u>Policy marker weight is 'significant'</u> (no minimum or maximum amount) | Migration, Social Progress, Access to health, Human Rights, Emancipation of women, Advocacy | | |
| <u>Special pledges made by the Minister or State Secretary</u> / and/ or special marks regarding sensitive information | - | | |

II. APPRAISAL OF THE ACTIVITY

2.1 Contribution made by the activity to BZ policy objectives (policy relevance)

2.1.1 Description policy relevance

Describe briefly:

- *how the intervention ties in with Dutch policy outcomes and outputs set out in the relevant policy memorandums and the [Annual Plan / MIB / Multi-Annual Strategic Plan \(MASP\)](#) based on them.*
- *Explain the policy markers which have been assigned to the activity in the cover sheet of the BEMO.*
- *Explain the international policy markers which have been assigned to the activity in the cover sheet of the BEMO.*

Médecins du Monde works to improve the health conditions under which migrants in Algeria live, as well as the Algerian capacity to provide in their needs. The project thus has clear relevance to the objectives the embassy set for the time frame 2019-2022: it directly supports the set aim of *improving migration management and promoting integrated services*, which is one of the embassy's priorities.

Moreover, the project contributes to another important objective of the Kingdom of the Netherlands in Algeria, that of *social progress*. It does so by supporting human rights protection as well as the emancipation of women, and the *fortifying of civil society*. In the embassy's MACS this was defined as motivating "people's sense of belonging to society". This project does so by strengthening the ties between Algerians and migrants, as well as among Algerians themselves. Consequently, the project also is highly relevant to the Shiraka project.

In line with Dutch human rights policy, the access of more vulnerable groups – especially women - to sexual and reproductive health is increased, and the organizations in this field are strengthened. The latter is especially important considering that homosexual activity is strictly forbidden under Algerian law.

2.1.2 Appraisal

Appraise the policy relevance of the project, using the appraisal table. If the maximum score is not achieved, explain why. If certain criteria do not apply, please indicate this.

| No. | Criteria 2.1 Policy relevance | Indicators (score 0, 1, 2) | Score | EXPLANATION/ REFERENCES |
|------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| 2.1.1 | The proposed intervention ties in with the operational objectives in the Explanatory Memorandum and the related policy memorandum (policy theory and intervention logic). | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed intervention ties in with both the main objective and the secondary objectives. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Links to inter alia human rights and social progress |
| 2.1.2 | The proposed intervention ties in with the ODA priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed intervention ties in with more than one of the result areas of the BH&OS priorities. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Promotes rights of women. |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| 2.1.3 | The proposed intervention ties in with the annual plan and the result chain of the MIB/MASP . | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed intervention ties in fully with the annual plan and the result chain of the MIB/MASP. | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | |
| 2.1.4 | The relevance of the proposed intervention to the crosscutting themes of women's rights and gender equality / climate / PSD / coherence and strengthening of civil society organisations | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed intervention is relevant to more than one of the crosscutting themes. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | |
| Total score (maximum 8 out of 8 points) | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 7 | |

2.2 Problem analysis and lessons learned

2.2.1 Description

Describe:

- what problem the proposed activity addresses;
- the extent to which the activity contributes to solving the problem and (where applicable) briefly state supporting reasons.

Problems MdM addresses include the marginalization of migrants as well as women as well as the personal insecurity this results into as a result from insufficient health care. They are especially relevant to the embassy as they support two prioritized objectives: that of *improving migration management* and of *stimulating social progress* (strengthening civil society).

MdM's projects do significantly contribute to an improvement of the situation by providing the missing care and supporting advocacy and emancipation efforts. To be more precise: through the first part of the project members of the migratory platform of Algeria (in the cities of Algiers and Oran) will be trained in assisting and protecting migrants living in Algeria. Through this, the existing infrastructure to support migrants will be strengthened and rendered more effective and efficient.

Through the second part of the project, a selected number of civil society actors will be supported in their effort to reduce the sanitary risks related to sexual practices for both the Algerian and migrant population.

2.2.2 Appraisal

Appraise the *contextual analysis* of the project proposal using the appraisal table. If the maximum score is not achieved, explain why and how this is dealt with. If certain criteria do not apply, please indicate this.

| Nr. | Criteria 2.2 | Indicators (score 0,1,2) | Score | EXPLANATION/ REFERENCES |
|-------|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Contextanalyse | | | |
| 2.2.1 | The proposal is based on a contextual analysis, from which a logical problem definition | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposal is based on a analysis and results in a logical problem definition and objective. | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | and objective are generated. | | | |
| 2.2.2 | The proposal describes how the results of evaluations and/or studies feed into formulation of the proposal. | <input type="checkbox"/> <p>The proposal refers to results from evaluations and/or studies but does not specify how these contributed to formulation of the proposal.</p> | 1 | |
| Total score (maximum 4 out of 4 punten) | | | 3 | |

2.3 Objectives (outcomes), results (outputs), activities and resources, based on the SMART principle

2.3.1 Description

Describe briefly or copy from the project document:

- the objectives at outcome level, including performance indicators;
- for each objective, the results (outputs) to be achieved by the activity and how they will be measured.

Add the framework 'objective-result-activities-resources' (*logical framework*) as an appendix to the BEMO.

Objectives

Overall objective: Strengthening the protection and resilience of highly vulnerable people

Target group: sub-Saharan migrants, Algerian women, sex workers

Specific objectives:

- 1) Improving the access and quality of health services for the target group, as well as the capacity of societies hosting them to provide therein.
- 2) Enhancing the technical and operational competences of organizations working for the *Plateforme Migration Algérie* (PMA).

Results

Expected overall results

- The realization of an increased capacity of Algerian civil society actors to provide in the needs of highly vulnerable people in Algeria. This would be realized through the PMA.

Expected specific results

- Highly vulnerable people benefit from an increased access to quality services provided by Algerian public health structures as well as civil society actors.
- Civil society actors benefit from a strengthening of their competences, allowing them to better treat the target group.
 - The dialogue between civil society actors is increased, thus allowing increased exchange of expertise

Activities

Activities towards objective 1

- Organization of consultations on sexual and reproductive health
- Provision of means to prevent sexual and reproductive diseases
- Provision of access and guidance towards the public health services
- Legal support for women seeking an increased access to health facilities
- Trainings to organizations working for the PMA in gender and human rights

- Trainings in psycho-sociological treatment
- Training in administrative management and human resources
- Training in external communication and visibility of actions

Activities towards objective 2

- Organization of an international week on the struggle against violence targeting women
- Organization of an international migration-themed day
- Organization of expertise sharing session between the PMA and *Plateforme Migration Niger*
- Campaign against racism on social networks
- Support in the creation of a network for midwives in Oran for medical support
- Workshops for the development of a strategy to give the mentioned project named "Jardin des Femmes" a more sustainable character
- Meetings with the PMA in order to inform and instruct them on how to best transfer the knowledge gained through the various trainings and workshops organized by Médecins du Monde
- Organization of an exploratory project to investigate the possibilities of also setting up a "Jardin des Femmes" project in Algiers
- Foundation of a website documenting the knowledge and lessons learnt through the project, so that it can be used by the PMA and other relevant organizations for future reference.

2.3.2 Appraisal

Appraise the logical framework using the appraisal table. If the maximum score is not achieved, explain why and how this is dealt with. If certain criteria do not apply, please indicate this.

| No. | Criteria 2.3 Outcomes, outputs, activities and resources, based on the SMART principle | Explanation score (1 point per indicator) | Score | EXPLANATION/ REFERENCES |
|-------|--|---|-------|--|
| 2.3.1 | The objectives at outcome level are clearly formulated, fall within the proposal's span of influence and are realistic. The outcomes follow logically from the problem formulated. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The outcomes are specifically formulated. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The objectives follow logically from the problem formulated. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The objectives fall within the proposal's span of influence and are realistic (taking account of its duration and local circumstances). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The objectives are acceptable to the target group and other stakeholders. | 5 | Additional appreciation gender indicator 3: The objectives include a explicit reference to women/ men, girls/ boys and gender equality. Please explain. |

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--|---|--|
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The objectives formulated are realistic bearing in mind the scope of the activities and the capacity of the (local) organisation(s). | | <p>Additional appreciation gender indicator 1:</p> <p>For each outcome are relevant, gender specific performance indicators formulated. Please explain.</p> |
| 2.3.2 | Progress in achieving the outcomes can be determined objectively on the basis of measurable performance indicators. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant performance indicators have been formulated for each outcome. | 1 | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> A baseline measurement and a measurable target (quantitative and/or qualitative) have been formulated for each performance indicator. | | |
| 2.3.3 | The outputs formulated are concrete and fall within the proposal's span of control. The outputs follow logically from the outcomes formulated. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There is a clear link between the outputs and the outcomes, i.e. the outputs can be expected to contribute to achievement of the outcomes. | 2 | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The outputs formulated are realistic bearing in mind the scope of the activities and the capacity of the (local) organisation(s) . | | |
| 2.3.4 | Progress in achieving the outputs can be determined objectively on the basis of measurable performance indicators. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant performance indicators have been formulated for each output. | 2 | <p>Additional appreciation gender indicator 1 and 2:</p> <p>For each output are relevant, gender specific performance indicators formulated;</p> <p>Baseline, targets and verification methods are put</p> |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A baseline and a measurable target (quantitative and/or qualitative) have been formulated for each performance indicator. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|----|--|
| 2.3.5 | When the activity ends, its envisaged outputs will have a lasting effect for the ultimate target group. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposal contains a clear vision (with objectives) as to how the activities will be continued when the intervention comes to an end. | 2 | on to collect gender specific information. Please explain. |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposal contains suitable criteria against which progress in continuing the activities can be measured. | | |
| 2.3.6 | At the end of the activity, the envisaged outputs will have a lasting effect on the local partners. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposal contains a clear vision (with objectives) as to how the quality of the activities and/or the financial independence of the local partner will be enhanced. | 2 | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The proposal sets out suitable criteria against which progress in regard to institutional sustainability can be measured. | | |
| Total score (maximum score 15 points) | | | 14 | |

2.4 Cooperation, harmonisation and added value

Describe briefly and concisely:

- whether the proposed activity involves cooperation with, for example, Dutch organisations, other donors, local organisations or other parties;
- the added value of the activity compared with other activities by BZ, donors, NGOs, businesses, research institutions and local authorities.

| | Risk | Influence on results of activity | Mitigating measures |
|---|----------------|---|---|
| 1. Organisation's anti-fraud and anti-corruption policy | Also see above | | Overall, while the degree of corruption and fraud in Algeria might be high, the organization appears to have sufficient mechanisms in place to detect a |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | | potential abuse of funds. |
| 2. Organisational structure and culture | | | The organization has provided a detailed overview of their costs, including a precise break-down of the employed staff. |
| 3. Monitoring, evaluation and quality of management | | | See above |
| 4. Financial and administrative management | | | See above |
| 5. Other | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Integrity appraisal, including procedures regarding unacceptable behaviour | |
| <p>Confirm whether a recent capacity assessment is available (COCA, Partos 9001 certificate, Scorecard). If this is the case, confirm whether the appraisal of the integrity policy, including the relevant rules and regulations and reporting procedures about unacceptable behaviour within the partner-organization was part of the capacity assessment. Does the appraisal meet the requirements?</p> <p>If no (satisfactory) appraisal is available, you should perform the appraisal yourself and capture the results of the appraisal in the capacity assessment of the implementing organization.</p> | |
| <p>The budgetholder should make a comprehensive integrated appraisal whether the financing request should be accepted. The integrated appraisal consist of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A policy appraisal: <i>describe the points to consider in relation to the integrity risks and indicate how these may affect the results to be achieved through the activity.</i> 2. A managerial/legal appraisal: is the partner-organization willing to give access to the relevant information with regards to the implementation of the integrity policy? Describe the legal risks if access to the information is denied. 3. Political/communication appraisal: media/parlement. Describe what level of insight in the policies of partner-organizations and sub-contactors and access the information about the policy implementation is needed. Appraise whether the level of risk of unacceptable behavior is acceptable to accept the financial aid request by the partner-organization. | |
| <p>Further explanation of the comprehensive integral integrity appraisal:</p> <p>The embassy bases her appraisal on previous cooperation with this NGO and deems it satisfactory.</p> | |

| | |
|---|----|
| Assessment of state aid risk | |
| 1. Will the measure benefit an organisation that carries out economic activities? | No |
| <p>Will income be generated that could provide a livelihood? The organisation can also be a non-profit organisation.</p> <p>Give a short, clear description of the activities below.</p> <p><u>Further details:</u></p> | |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2. As a result of the measure, has the organisation obtained an advantage that it would not have obtained under normal market conditions? (The measure should be described in the answer to question 1.) | No |
| For more information, see the accompanying explanatory notes. <u>Further details:</u> | |
| 3. Is the advantage selective? | No |
| 'Selective' means that a small group of organisations/business enjoy an advantage. For more information, see the accompanying explanatory notes. <u>Further details:</u> { Brief description of organisations } | |
| 4. Does the advantage distort or potentially distort competition and could it affect trade between countries in the European single market? | No |
| For more information, see the accompanying explanatory notes. <u>Further details:</u> | |
| If the answer to these questions is 'yes', please consult the European Law Division of the Legal Affairs Department (DJZ/ER) for advice. | |

V. IMPLEMENTATION

5.1 Budget

| 5. Budget détaillé en EUROS* | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Activité | Coût total es-timé | Demandé à d'autres donateurs | Fonds Propres | Demandé à l'Ambassade du Royaume des Pays-Bas |
| I. Salaire (pour la durée de l'activité) | | | | |
| Coordinateur général | 68 860 | 52 536 | 5 774 | 10 550 |

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Coordinateur médical | 29 840 | 8 020 | 2 890 | 18 930 |
| Coordinateur Administratif et logistique | 26 132 | 8 920 | 2 362 | 14 850 |
| Logisticiens | 26 480 | 8 190 | 2 320 | 15 970 |
| Administrateurs comptable | 28 820 | 11 906 | 2 494 | 14 420 |
| Coordinateur site | 23 428 | 12 488 | 1 010 | 9 930 |
| Superviseur Santé | 14 136 | 7 686 | 480 | 5 970 |
| Superviseur des activités | 26 736 | 13 176 | 6 780 | 6 780 |
| Chauffeurs | 12 480 | - | - | 12 480 |
| Personnel Entretien Bureau | 14 148 | 3 052 | 2 316 | 8 780 |
| Sous-total | 271 060 | 125 974 | 26 426 | 118 660 |
| II. Honoraire d'experts/consultants internationaux/locaux | | | | |
| Évaluation externe de fin de projet | 5 000 | - | - | 5 000 |
| Sous-total | 5 000 | - | - | 5 000 |
| III. Frais de voyages (nombre de personnes, frais de transport) | | | | |
| Visites de siège (billets d'avion, Visas etc...) | 4 200 | - | - | 4 200 |
| Frais de déplacement national | 14 747 | - | 1 414 | 13 333 |
| Location véhicule | 13 333 | - | - | 13 333 |
| Formation siège | 3 000 | - | 1 600 | 1 400 |
| Sous-total | 35 281 | - | 3 014 | 32 267 |
| IV. Achats | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|-------|--------------|
| Équipements et mobiliers de bureau | 4 200 | - | - | 4 200 |
| Sous-total | 4 200 | | | 4 200 |
| V. Dépenses de projets autres que I-IV | | | | |
| <u>Aide matérielle et médicale</u> | | | | |
| Remboursement de frais médicaux | 9 372 | 5 472 | - | 3 900 |
| Achat intrants | 24 727 | 14 727 | - | 10 000 |
| <u>Formations et rencontres</u> | | | | |
| Organisation rencontres PMA | 10 681 | 3 400 | 2 610 | 4 670 |
| Organisation des journées internationale de lutte contre les violences faites aux femmes | 3 000 | - | - | 3 000 |
| Organisation des journées internationale JIM | 6 160 | 2 000 | 1 080 | 3 080 |
| Formation Soutien Psycho-social | 1 860 | - | 380 | 1 480 |
| Formations aux partenaires | 32 620 | - | - | 32 620 |
| Sensibilisation Grand Groupe | 6 366 | 1 540 | 156 | 4 670 |
| Atelier d'élaboration de stratégie de transfert progressive aux projet PMA | 1 500 | - | - | 1 500 |
| Atelier d'élaboration de stratégie de transfert progressive aux projet JDF | 1 500 | - | - | 1 500 |
| <u>Missions d'évaluation et d'échanges</u> | | | | |
| Mission de jumelage Oran- Montpellier | 9 100 | 2 700 | - | 6400 |

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Echange avec plateforme Niger | 3 790 | - | - | 3 790 |
| Mission exploratoire (JDF Alger) | 5 000 | - | - | 5 000 |
| Subventions partenaires | 19 796 | 8 624 | 12 | 11 160 |
| Relais Communautaires Migrants (RC) | 9 080 | 5 310 | 430 | 3 340 |
| Appui au Jardin des Femmes | 14 260 | 2 134 | 746 | 11 380 |
| Sous-total | 158 812 | 45 907 | 5 414 | 107 490 |
| VI : Frais généraux (Par exemple : loyer du local, frais d'équipement, frais d'électricité, frais opérationnels) max 7.5% du sous-total | | | | |
| Location bureau Mdm | 28 265 | 10 946 | 1 932 | 15 388 |
| Frais de fonctionnement (consommables, courriers etc. .) | 5 862 | 4 324 | 424 | 1 114 |
| Maintenance (locaux, matériels.) | 500 | - | - | 500 |
| Frais de communication | 3 789 | 2 780 | 491 | 519 |
| Prestataires de service | 1 200 | - | 200 | 1 000 |
| Banner, affiches conception, impression | 2 651 | 2 170 | - | 481 |
| Sous-total | 42 267 | 20 219 | 3 046 | 19 002 |
| VII : Dépenses imprévues (max 5% du sous-total, et à utiliser avec l'accord préalable de l'ambassade) | | | | |
| | 13 381 | | | 13 381 |
| Sous-total | | | | 13 381 |
| VIII. Total général | 530 000 | 192 100 | 37 900 | 300 00 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|----------------|
| IX. Montant demandé à l'Ambassade des Pays-Bas | | | | 300 000 |
| | | | | |

Met betrekking tot de 'formations aux partenaires', het is zo dat MdM coaching- en opleidingsbureaus inzet omdat dit buiten hun directe expertise in fysieke en mentale gezondheid valt. Deze bureaus trainen dus de doelgroep en niet MdM-medewerkers zelf. Naast een arbeidsvergoeding voor de trainers vallen binnen deze categorie de reis- en verblijfkosten van deelnemers.

Overige uitleg/specificatie mbt budget is terug te vinden in het document 2019-06-02-MDM-gestelde-vragen-nav-feedback-FSO-antwoorden.doc

5.1.1 Breakdown of costs

State the overall cost of the activity and overheads. Indicate the various cost centres (activities and outputs) in the rows and cost types (e.g. personnel, equipment, etc.) in the columns.

| <i>Output/direct costs</i> | <i>Costs A</i> | <i>Costs B</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| <i>Output 1</i> | | | |
| <i>Output 2</i> | | | |
| <i>Salaries</i> | | | |
| <i>Overheads</i> | | | |
| <i>Total</i> | | | |

EUR 285.000,-

5.3 Monitoring

In the case of an activity:

- *governed by a framework agreement (UN, IFI) or*
- *the management of which is governed by a multi-donor arrangement*

the activity analysis decision tree and the type of auditor's report decision tree can be omitted and direct reference can be made to the relevant agreements.

5.3.1 Narrative and financial reports

Financial and narrative report needed after project closure.

5.3.2 Audit opinion

As per decision tree: Controleverklaring bij de jaarrekening van de begunstigde; activiteit identificeerbaar opgenomen

5.3.3 IATI – Internatioal Aid Transparency Initiative

Is the organisation capable of reporting in accordance with the IATI standard, as set out in the BZ publication guidelines entitled 'How to use the IATI standard'?

<https://www.government.nl/documents/publications/2015/12/01/open-data-and-development-co-operation>)

The organisation will report in accordance with the IATI standard, as set out in the BZ publication guidelines.

5.3.4 Annual plans and other reports

State whether any other reports (annual plans, management assertions) are required in addition to the above narrative and financial reports.

Project is 12 months, not needed

5.3.5 Monitoring calendar

Set out the reporting requirements in the table below, to ensure they are accurately incorporated in the decision/agreement.

| Report type | Any specific requirements* | Period | Submission by |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------|
| Annual plan | x | x | |
| Narrative* | x | x | |
| Financial | x | x | |
| Account of field visit | x | x | |
| Final narrative** | | 01.08.2019-31.07.2020 | 30-09-2020 |
| Final financial | | 01.08.2019-31.07.2020 | 30-09-2020 |
| Audit | Controle op jaarekening met activiteit identificeerbaar | | 30-09-2020 |
| Others to be included | x | | |
| Report type | Any specific requirements* | Period | Submission by |
| Annual plan | x | x | |

* Narrative/ narrative IATI: reports on the contributions by third parties (inputs), outputs, outcome, sustainability and the spending of the Dutch contribution in accordance with the latest approved budget. If a financial report (other than the A statement) is submitted separately, please insert a line.

In the case of IATI-compliant reporting, also refer to the additional reporting requirements specified under 5.3.3.

In this case, include the following text in the BEMO:

The organisation will report in accordance with the BZ publication guidelines on the IATI standard.

For more information about the narrative reports, please see 5.3.3.

** See also the results given in section 5.3.1; if any additional criteria are desirable, insert them here.

5.3.6 Evaluations

Use the [decision tree evaluations](#) to determine whether an evaluation is required for the activity. Explain in this paragraph.