Ministry of Foreign Affairs

# Activity: KAM - Eval - CommonGround/Bright (26-0000356)

| | |
|---|---|
| Id | 26-0000356 |
| Date | 23-07-2026 |
| SAP application number | |

Contents

**Key data** ..... **3**

Activity basics 3

Activity details 3

Accounting data 3

**Policy data** ..... **4**

**Beneficiary location** ..... **5**

**Policy Appraisal** ..... **6**

Policy relevance 6

Additional remarks (if applicable) 6

**Results** ..... **7**

Food and Nutrition Security 7

**Monitoring and evaluation** ..... **8**

**Finance** ..... **9**

Budget breakdown 9

Prepayments 9

# Key data

### Activity basics

| | |
|---|---|
| Type | Evaluation |
| Short name | KAM - Eval - CommonGround/Bright |
| Contract party | BKP Economic Advisors GmbH (30203576) |
| ODA | Yes |
| Amount EUR | 286939.27 |
| Start date | 29-07-2026 |
| End date | 16-12-2026 |
| Full name | Evaluation of WENR's Commonground and IFDC's BRIGHT |
| Summary | |

### Activity details

| | |
|---|---|
| Date of receipt of proposal | 13-07-2026 |
| Proposal’s reference number | INcluded in MINBUZA-2026.249516 |
| Budget holder | Ambassade Kampala (8221KAM) |
| Limit access | No |
| Related activity | 10-0002230 / 10-0002337 |

### Accounting data

| | |
|---|---|
| SAP application number | |
| Main funds center | Food security delegated (1702U0101) |

# Policy data

| | |
|---|---|
| Cooperation modality | Other program-based aid |
| Technical assistance | Between 25% (inclusive) and 50% (exclusive) of the activity budget |
| CRS code | 31120 Agricultural development |
| Policy Keywords | |
| Refugees & host communities | No |
| Team Europe Initiative | No |
| Policy Markers | |
| __Trade & The Economy | Significant |
| _Security & Stability | Significant |
| Biodiversity | Not targeted |
| Climate change: adaptation | Principal |
| Climate change: mitigation | Not targeted |
| Desertification | Not targeted |
| Equality between men & women | Significant |
| Migration | Not targeted |
| Financial sector development | Significant |
| Food security | Principal |
| Market access | Principal |
| Market development | Principal |
| Private sector development | Significant |
| Explain your choices | The principal policy markers: Food security, climate change adaptation, market access and development are central to the program. The two activities (BRIGHT and CG) invest in improving farm systems and sustainable land use to increase productivity, food security, incomes, and build resilience of SHFs to climate change and market shocks.<br>The activities address the above policy markers through a participatory approach (PIP) as a core approach for developing inclusive, resilient, and empowered SHFs.<br><br>Policy markers under the category 'Significant' are the following:<br>i) Private Sector development and trade, the projects strengthen business linkages between producers and companies, (traders, processors, service providers, etc).<br>ii) financial access: Credit is essential to build SHFs with reliable access to markets.<br>iii) Gender equality, both BRIGHT and CG projects aim to generate benefits for women, men, and youths. Women are addressed specifically as well as overall beneficiaries. |

# Beneficiary location

| Beneficiary location | Country |
|---|---|
| Country | Uganda |
| Location type | District |
| Specific location | Kigezi, Rwenzori, and Elgon |

# Policy Appraisal

**Policy relevance**

- What policy objectives will this activity contribute to?

  This final evaluation serves our broader thematic commitments in Uganda. It feeds directly into the embassy's primary policy focus on FNS and the agricultural sector. By scrutinizing these two projects, the activity helps the ministry assess how effectively our funding builds the resilience of smallholder farmers against climate shocks and market failures. A necessary reality check for our portfolio.

  The evaluation directly supports the strategic policy of shifting toward bottom-up, sustainable agricultural development and farmer self-reliance. It provides the hard data we need to see if the participatory approach successfully scales market access and strengthens relationships with private sector actors. Crucially, it serves our sustainability agenda. The required Transition Map will show exactly which successes are ready for national institutionalization without further Dutch donor subsidy.

- Is it an ex ante, ex durante or ex post evaluation?

  This is an **ex post** evaluation. The ToR states clearly on the cover page that this is the final evaluation for both the Common Ground and BRIGHT projects.

  The operational mechanics confirm this classification. The projects are executing their final endline data collection to feed directly into this study. The work begins at the tail end of their four-year lifecycles.

  The core deliverable is a Transition Map. We want to know exactly which outcomes can survive at a national level without any further donor subsidy from our embassy. A classic ex post exercise to measure sustainability and accountability before closing the books.

**Additional remarks (if applicable)**

# Results

## Food and Nutrition Security

# Monitoring and evaluation

- Specify what reporting information is required for this activity, based on the activity analysis decision tree (see Help) or the delivery concept.

The (draft) call-off contract is leading [MINBUZA-2026.172164]

Deliverables

3.2 If the result of the Services is provided in the form of a final report, Contractor will respect following details/dates:

- The Contractor will provide the final inception report in electronic form no later than 12 August 2026;
- The Contractor will provide Workshops no later than 30 September 2026;
- The Contractor will provide the draft evaluation report in electronic form no later than 07 October 2026;
- The Contractor will give a Presentation of draft evaluation report to EKN and individual partners on 14 October 2026;
- The Contractor will provide the final strategic report in electronic form no later than 21 October 2026 in accordance with the requirements set out in the Terms of Reference;
- The contractor will present two final evaluation reports and one strategic synthesis paper to the embassy no later than 28 October 2026.

# Finance

### Budget breakdown

- Insert an overview of the budget of the activity, including overhead costs.

| 1. FEES IN € | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Position | | Name of the expert | Number of working days | Fee rate | TOTAL PRICE |
| 1 | Team Leader | Maurits Servaas | 40 | 950 € | 38.000,00 € |
| 2 | Senior Evaluation Expert | John van Mossel | 40 | 950 € | 38.000,00 € |
| 3 | Market Systems Specialist | Jimmy Ebong | 25 | 700 € | 17.500,00 € |
| 4 | Agricultural Economist | Symon Wandiembe | 35 | 700 € | 24.500,00 € |
| 5 | Land Use Specialist | Anthony Kadoma | 25 | 700 € | 17.500,00 € |
| 6 | Social Scientist – PIP & Behavioural Change | Judith Okuonzia | 25 | 700 € | 17.500,00 € |
| 7 | Survey Coordinator | Innocent Muhereza | 25 | 700 € | 17.500,00 € |
| 8 | Project Director | Timothée Picarello | 15 | 0 € | 0 € |
| | | | | TOTAL OF FEES | 170.500,00 € |

| 2. OTHER COSTS IN € | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Item | Quantity | Unit price | TOTAL PRICE |
| International travel – Expert 2 (flights from Canada) | 2 | 1.500 € | 3.000,00 € |
| Per diems (Kampala) – Expert 2 | 20 | 235 € | 4.700,00 € |
| Per diems – Expert team for Data Collection (Phase 2) | 80 | 75 € | 6.000,00 € |
| Local travel – Expert Team for Data Collection (Phase 2) | *(lump sum)* | *(lump sum)* | 5.000,00 € |
| Household survey costs *(see details on next page)* | - | - | 20.418,71 € |
| FGD costs *(see details on next page)* | - | - | 1.005,14 € |
| Verification workshop costs *(see details on next page)* | - | - | 11.516,04 € |
| Radio outreach (Farm Radio International or Track FM) | *(lump sum)* | *(lump sum)* | 15.000,00 € |
| | | TOTAL OF OTHER COSTS | 66.639,89 € |

| | |
|---|---|
| GRAND TOTAL (excl. VAT) | 237.139,89 € |
| VAT (21%) | 49.799,38 € |
| GRAND TOTAL (incl. VAT) | 286.939,27 € |

- Is the budget arithmetically correct?
  Yes. The calculation is documented in Sophia: MINBUZA-2026.254297. This file is considered the definitive baseline for our assessment
- Are the amounts/rates in the budget acceptable?
  Yes, in line with other similar programmes

### Prepayments

- Based on the prepayment decision tree (see Help), do the payments need to be entered as prepayments and why? In the case of a lump sum, assess this against the applicable criteria and give the reasons for your choice.

  The draft call-off contract is leading [MINBUZA-2026.172164]

4. Financial provisions

4.1 The following provisions apply in addition to the financial provisions of the Agreement:

In accordance with article 4.1 of the Agreement, the Contractor will perform the Services to be provided on the basis of this Call-off Contract for a fixed total price of €... (excluding VAT) and € .. (including VAT).

4.2 Payment will be made as follows:

- 20% of the total fixed price which is €.....,- excluding VAT (€.....,- including VAT) will be paid after the approval of the draft inception report;

- 40% of the total fixed price which is €.....,- excluding VAT (€ .....,- including VAT) will be paid after the approval of the final inception report;

- the remainder (40%) of the total fixed price which is € .....,- excluding VAT (€ .....,- including VAT) will be paid after the approval of the two final evaluation reports and the strategic synthesis paper.

- What is the maximum percentage that can be paid as prepayment, and why?

  60% is booked as prepayment, the last 40% will be a lumpsum (the assignment has been accepted)

| | | 286.939,27 | Rounded |
|---|---|---|---|
| Payment at signature | 20% | 57.387,85 | 57.388,00 |
| Payment at acceptance inception | 40% | 114.775,71 | 114.776,00 |
| Payment at acceptance Service | 40% | 114.775,71 | 114.775,27 |

- Which are the reporting obligations on the basis of which prepayments can be closed?

  Prepayments will be closed only upon the submission and full assessment of all reports and the receipt of all required programmatic reports

  Prepayments will be closed only upon the submission and full assessment of all reports